

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,241	06/19/2001		Mario Martinelli	05788.0173	9552	
22852	7590	12/29/2003		EXAMINER		
FINNEGA!	N, HEND	ERSON, FARAB	MOONEY, MICHAEL P			
LLP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1300 I STRI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
WASHINGTON DC 20005				2977		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			20.5	A					
P.	Application No.		Applicant(s)						
	09/883,241	- -	MARTINELLI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Michael P. Moon	ey	2877						
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress					
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower tion. s, a reply within the statutory min y period will apply and will expire y statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-fina	ıl.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the appli	cation.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected									
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,6 and 8</u> is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election require	ment.							
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the E	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doctory of the certified copies of the priority doctory of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doctory of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doctory of the foreign languation of the foreign languation of the foreign languation of the first sentence was included in the first sentence that the company of the foreign languation of the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	uments have been receipments have been receipments have been receipments have been receipments have been (PCT Rule 17.2 r a list of the certified comestic priority under 3 the first sentence of the age provisional applications	eived. eived in Application eived in Application eive been receive (a)). opies not receive 5 U.S.C. § 119(e) e specification or on has been receive 5 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			(PTO-413) Paper No(
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲		atent Application (PTC						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102b as being anticipated by Masaru et al. (JP 08248358A).

Masaru et al. teaches a polarization stabilizing device including: an input light path for receiving a light signal having an arbitrary polarization state; a divider arranged in the input light path to split the light signal into first and second components; a first interferometric arm arranged to receive from the divider the first component of the light signal; a second interferometric arm arranged to receive from the divider the second component of the light signal; an output path for outputting the light signal from the first and second interferometric arms; at least one polarizer arranged either in the first and second interferometric arms, or in the output path, to define an output polarization state for the light signal; and at least one retarder arranged in at least one of the first and second interferometric arms to generate first and second polarization states in the first and second interferometric arms, respectively, that are orthogonal to each other for at least one polarization state of the input light signal so that the first polarization state is transmitted by the at least one polarizer and the second polarization state is absorbed

Art Unit: 2877

by the at least one polarizer, thereby to output the light signal in the output polarization state defined by the at least one polarizer. (See: Abstract).

Thus claim 1 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a device according to claim 1, further comprising a combiner arranged to combine the first and second components of the light signal into the output path. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 3 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a device according to claim 1, wherein the at least one polarizer comprises a polarizing element placed in the output path. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 4 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a device according to claim 1, wherein the at least one polarizer comprises a polarizing element placed in the first and second interferometric arms. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 5 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a device according to claim 1, wherein the at least one retarder comprises a retarding element arranged in the first interferometric arm. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 7 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches an optical component comprising: an optical device having an input for receiving an input light signal, the optical device being sensitive to the polarization state of its input signal; and a polarization stabilizing device according to claim 1 arranged to stabilize the polarization state of the input signal prior to supply to the input of the optical device. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 9 is met.

Art Unit: 2877

Masaru et al. teaches an optical network comprising at least one polarization stabilizing device according to claim 1. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 13 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a method of polarization stabilization, comprising: inputting a light signal into an interferometer arrangement comprising first and second arms having an optical path difference therebetween greater than the coherence length of the light signal; applying a retardation to the light signal in at least one of the arms so that subsequent to the retardation the light signal has orthogonal polarization states in the first and second arms for at least one polarization state of the input light signal; and applying a polarization with a polarizer so that one of the orthogonal polarization states is absorbed while the other is transmitted. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 14 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a method according to claim 14, wherein the polarization is applied in the first and second arms. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 15 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a method according to claim 14, wherein the polarization is applied subsequent to recombination of the light signal after the first and second arms.

(See: Abstract). Thus claim 16 is met.

Masaru et al. teaches a method according to claim 14, further comprising recombining the light signal after the first and second arms in a manner that is insensitive to the polarization state of the light signal input to the interferometer arrangement. (See: Abstract). Thus claim 17 is met.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,241 Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masaru et al. (JP 08248358A).

Masaru et al. teaches a polarization stabilizing device including: an input light path for receiving a light signal having an arbitrary polarization state; a divider arranged in the input light path to split the light signal into first and second components; a first interferometric arm arranged to receive from the divider the first component of the light signal; a second interferometric arm arranged to receive from the divider the second component of the light signal; an output path for outputting the light signal from the first and second interferometric arms; at least one polarizer arranged either in the first and second interferometric arms, or in the output path, to define an output polarization state for the light signal; and at least one retarder arranged in at least one of the first and

Art Unit: 2877

second interferometric arms to generate first and second polarization states in the first and second interferometric arms, respectively, that are orthogonal to each other for at least one polarization state of the input light signal so that the first polarization state is transmitted by the at least one polarizer and the second polarization state is absorbed by the at least one polarizer, thereby to output the light signal in the output polarization state defined by the at least one polarizer. (See: Abstract).

Masaru et al. teaches an optical component comprising: an optical device having an input for receiving an input light signal, the optical device being sensitive to the polarization state of its input signal; and a polarization stabilizing device according to claim 1 arranged to stabilize the polarization state of the input signal prior to supply to the input of the optical device. (See: Abstract).

Although Masaru et al. does not necessarily use the precise nomenclature expressed in claims 10-12, it would have been obvious to do so because it is notoriously well known to use each of these variations in such applications. Thus claims 10-12 are rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 6, 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2877

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Mooney whose telephone number is 703-308-6125. The examiner can normally be reached during weekdays, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 703-308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956. An alternative useful number for status inquiries is 703-306-3329.

Michael P. Mooney

Examiner Art Unit 2877

FGF/mpm 12/27/03 Frank G. Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2877

Michael P. Statira
Primary Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2900

Technology Center 2800